

**LIST OF REJECTED CLAIMS FOR WARD NO-6****FORM V****[ See sub rule (7) of rule 13 ]**

The following claims have been rejected by the Chief Executive Officer of his own motion in the roll for Ward No.6 of the Aurangabad Cantonment which are not preferred in the proper form or manner specified in this rule.

Sr.No.	Ward No	Name	Reason of Rejection	Remarks
1	6	Sumaiya Sayyad Ashfak	Age Proof Not Clear	Invalid
2	6	Samiuddin Kalimuddin Shaikh	Address Proof Not Attached	Invalid
3	6	Fahad Mohammad Khan	Under Age	Invalid
4	6	Shaikh Nikhat Zaheer	Under Age	Invalid
5	6	Shaikh Absoar Shaikh Zafarddin	Included H No.479 S.R. no.107	Invalid
6	6	Shabaz Naushad Baig	Age Proof Not Attached sign & applicant	Invalid
7	6	Sayama Irshad Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
8	6	Sajit Baig Maheboob Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
9	6	Nasam Begum	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
10	6	Baig Amer Baig Nabu Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
11	6	Imran Baig Nabbu Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
12	6	Sana Kausar Imran Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
13	6	Nadeem Baig Akatar Baig	Appication Not Sign	Invalid
14	6	Shaikh Adnan	Under Age	Invalid
15	6	syed Abdul Syed munur	Name Proof Different person	Invalid
16	6	Shaikh Nadeem Bada	Invalid form H No. Not Mention	Invalid
17	6	Sima Begum Mainuddin Shaikh	Appication Same Have Already	Invalid
18	6	Navid Nadeem Shaikh	Appication Same Have Already received at Sr.No.33	Invalid
19	6	Ayam Qureshi Afsar Qureshi	Address Proof Not Clear	Invalid
20	6	Farzana Begum Shaikh	Address Out Side cant	Invalid
21	6	Shaikh Mujahed Shaikh Faruk	Name already included	Invalid
22	6	Farzan Begum Naeem Khan	Name already included	Invalid
23	6	Mohammad Sakhib Mohammad Asif	Name already included	Invalid
24	6	Gopal Budhanna Tamalallu	Application form not attached	Invalid
25	6	Shaikh Mobin Shaikh Sikandar Patel	Application form not attached	Invalid
26	6	Furkhan Fahim Shaikh	Application form not attached	Invalid



27	6	Ayesha Iram Gulam Dawood Barrekhani	Address Out Side cant	Invalid
28	6	Saba Anjum Shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
29	6	Mohammad parvej Hanfi	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
30	6	Firdos Begum Shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
31	6	Akhil Shaikh Wahed Shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
32	6	Ishrat begum shaikh akhil shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
33	6	Sharif Barekhani	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
34	6	Shaikh Akhil Shaikh Wahed	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
35	6	Shaikh Samrin Shaikh Akil	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
36	6	Nilofer Pathan Feroz Pathan	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
37	6	Parvin Sultan Qureshi	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
38	6	Affak Fahim Fahim Shaikh	Age Mismatched address mismatched	Invalid
39	6	Khan Shirin Feroj	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
40	6	Amrin Akhil Shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
41	6	Samrin Bano Shaikh Akhil	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
42	6	Yasmeen Abdul Aziz	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
43	6	Yasmeen begum Abdul Aziz	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
44	6	Farida Begum shaikh Aquek	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
45	6	Naseer Shaikh	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
46	6	Sultan Qureshi	H No. of Address proof is mismatched	Invalid
47	6	Rubina Begum	Address Proof Not Clear	Invalid
48	6	Shaikh Salimuddin Shaikh Sirajuddin	Address Proof Not Clear	Invalid
49	6	Dawood Gulam	Elector Sr.no, name sign missing	Invalid
50	6	Shaikh Mushtak	Address Invalid Rijeeted	Invalid



**LIST OF REJECTED CLAIMS FOR WARD NO-7****FORM V****[ See sub rule (7) of rule 13 ]**

**The following claims have been rejected by the Chief Executive Officer of his own motion in the roll for Ward No.7 of the Aurangabad Cantonment which are not preferred in the proper form or manner specified in this rule.**

<b>Sr.No.</b>	<b>Ward No</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Reason of Rejection</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	7	Dipali Santosh Dundiyar	Under age	Invalid

